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SUMMARY A concurrent FIFO queue is a widely used fundamental data structure for parallelizing software. In this letter, we introduce a novel concurrent FIFO queue algorithm for multicore architecture. We achieve better scalability by reducing contention among concurrent threads, and improve performance by optimizing cache-line usage. Experimental results on a server with eight cores show that our algorithm outperforms state-of-the-art algorithms by a factor of two.
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1. Introduction

Multicore architecture has been widely adopted from smart phones to servers in data centers. A concurrent FIFO queue is a widely used fundamental data structure for fully exploiting the capability of multicore architecture. Efficient and scalable concurrent FIFO queue is critically important to build high performance producer/consumer and software pipeline architecture. Lamport [1] proposed a lockless concurrent FIFO queue. It uses a fixed size array and supports single enqueuer and single dequeuer. Fast-Forward [2] improved performance of the Lamport queue by optimizing cache-line usage for modern multicore architecture. Michael and Scott [3] introduced a lock-free FIFO queue, called MS-queue, which uses singly linked list and supports multiple concurrent enqueuers and dequeuers. MS-queue updates Head and Tail using compare-and-swap (CAS) atomic primitive. When a CAS operation fails because of contention among concurrent threads, it retries the operation until succeeds. Because enqueue requires two successful CAS operations whereas dequeue requires a single successful CAS operation in order to complete, possibility of CAS failure in enqueue is higher than that of dequeue [4]. In modern multicore architectures, a CAS operation costs an order-of-magnitude longer than a load or store, since they require exclusive ownership and flushing of the processors store buffer. Ladan-Mozes and Shavit [4] introduced a doubly linked list based lock-free FIFO queue, called LS-queue, to reduce the possibility of CAS failure in enqueue. They showed that reducing the number of CAS failure improves performance and scalability.

In this letter, we propose a novel concurrent FIFO queue. It uses singly linked list and supports multiple concurrent enqueuers and dequeuers. To achieve high performance and scalability in multicore architecture, we propose two schemes. First, we design an enqueue algorithm that does not require retrying failed CAS. LS-queue reduces possibility of CAS failure in enqueue when comparing it to the MS-queue, but the number of failed CAS operations is still high as shown in Fig. 2 (b). Because our algorithm needs to retry failed CAS operation only in dequeue, it significantly reduces the number of failed CAS operations: it significantly improves performance and scalability. Second, we further improve performance by reducing cache-line interference between enqueuer and dequeuer unlike MS-queue and LS-queue. Since, in our algorithm, Tail is read only by enqueuer and Head is read only by dequeuer, there is no shared cache-line between enqueuer and dequeuer: no cache-line interference between enqueuer and dequeuer.

2. The New Queue Algorithm

We introduce two techniques for our new queue algorithm. First, we fundamentally remove the need of retrying failed CAS in enqueuer. Instead of CAS, we update Tail by using fetch-and-store (FAS) primitive. FAS atomically updates a memory location to a new value and returns the old value. Most architectures including Intel x86, ARM, and PowerPC support FAS primitive in hardware. Figure 1 depicts the flow of enqueue and dequeue in the new algorithm. In enqueue operation, it first atomically updates Tail to new node using FAS (E1), and then updates old Tail’s next to the new node (E3). Since FAS primitive guarantees atomic update of Tail, it is possible to enqueue without retrying even under
concurrent operations. Second, to avoid unnecessary cache-line invalidation that incurs expensive cost in multicore architectures, we propose a new technique to check whether a queue is empty or not. Before enqueuing a new node, its next is initialized to NULL. After Tail is updated to the new node (E1), it updates the next of the new node to itself using CAS (E2). Thus, a node whose next points to itself is the last node in the queue. We can easily recognize that a queue is empty if the next of the Head node points to itself (D2).

In dequeue operation, it first checks if the next of the Head node is NULL (D1) and waits until it is changed to non-NULL. That is because next is NULL while enqueue is in progress. In case that the queue is not empty (D2), Head is updated to the next using CAS (D3). If the CAS operation succeeds, it returns the value. Otherwise, it retries the dequeue operation. Since Head is updated and retried using CAS, we therefore must deal with ABA problem [3]. We use a tagging technique [3], [4] that associates a modification counter with a pointer (pointer_t) and increments it in each successful CAS. In Algorithm 1, we describe the new algorithm in detail.

3. Evaluation

We performed our experiments on a server that has 8 cores (two Intel Xeon 5506 2.13 GHz Quad-core processors) with Linux Kernel 3.0. All the experiments employ an initially empty queue to which threads perform 10 million pairs of enqueue and dequeue. We measured the time, the number of failed CAS operations, and the number of cache miss for one enqueue-dequeue pair. Figure 2 (a) shows that the performance and scalability of the new algorithm is significantly better than those of MS-queue and LS-queue. In case of running 16 concurrent threads, MS-queue and LS-queue are slower than the new algorithm by 195% and 188%, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2 (b), the number of failed CAS operations in the new algorithm is significantly lower, which mainly contributes better performance and scalability. For 16 concurrent threads, the number of failed CAS operations in MS-queue and LS-queue are greater by 225% and 198%, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2 (c), the number of cache miss in the new algorithm is significantly lower. For 16 concurrent threads, the number of cache miss in MS-queue and LS-queue are greater by 189% and 204%, respectively.

Algorithm 1 The New Queue Algorithm

1: structure pointer_t {ptr: pointer to node_t, count: unsigned integer}
2: structure node_t {value: data type, next: pointer_t}
3: structure queue_t {Head: pointer_t cache line aligned, Tail: pointer to node_t cache line aligned}
4: procedure INITIALIZE(Q: pointer to queue_t)
5: node = new_node()  // First node is a sentinel node.
6: node→next.ptr = node
7: Q→Head = Q→Tail = node
8: end procedure
9: procedure ENQUEUE(Q: pointer to queue_t, value: data type)
10: node = new_node()
11: node→value = value
12: node→next.ptr = NULL
13: old_tail = FAS(&Q→Tail.ptr, node)
14: if CAS(&node→next.ptr, NULL, node)
15:  old_tail→next.ptr = node
16:  end procedure
17: procedure DEQUEUE(Q: pointer to queue_t, pvalue: pointer to data type)
18: loop
19: if Q→Head.ptr→next.ptr == NULL then
20: continue
21: end if
22: head = Q→Head
23: next = head→next
24: if head.ptr == next.ptr then
25: return FALSE
26: end if
27: if !CAS(&Q→Head, head, <next.ptr, head.count+1>) then
28: continue
29: end if
30: *pvalue = next.ptr→value
31: free(head→ptr)
32: break
33: end loop
34: return TRUE
35: end procedure

4. Conclusion

Concurrent FIFO queue is a fundamental data structure, which is critical to high performance parallel software. We proposed a concurrent FIFO queue algorithm that significantly improves performance and scalability by reducing the number of failed CAS operations and optimizing cache-line usage. Our experiments show the new algorithm outperforms the state-of-the-art algorithms by factor of two.
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